COMPUTATIONAL MODELING OF HEAVY DUTY TRUCK DRIVESHAFT

Michal Janoušek1

Summary: The driveline of heavy duty vehicle is an important source of NVH. Prediction of NVH parameters of driveline in construction phase is a significant topic nowadays because it can save time and development costs, but requires more complex mathematical models. This paper describes the use of MBS simulation tool for prediction of driveshaft vibration where shaft is modeled as a flexible body. MBS approach can take into account various input parameters, i.e. unbalanced mass of shaft, geometry deviation from ideal shape, mounting points of eccentricity or contact between the shaft and other parts of the driveline. MBS model of driveshaft was verified by measurement on a real vehicle.

Key words: driveshaft, whirling vibration, MBS modeling, experiment.

INTRODUCTION

Driveline of a modern heavy duty vehicle must fulfill various requirements, those include: high reliability, low price, durability and at the same time meet legal regulations. There are strict requests for increasing the mechanical efficiency and NVH emissions reduction because in 2024, based on ECE 505 Add 50 Rev.3 the outside noise of heavy duty vehicle category N₃ must be reduced by 3 dB. There are also requests from market for quieter and more comfortable vehicle. The driving comfort is a very important parameter for marketability of a vehicle. It means that NVH emissions must be taken into account, already, in the early construction phase, especially on the off-road vehicles with all-wheel drive, where the drivelines are really complex. The complexity of driveline means that the driveline is an important NVH source on vehicle and could not be overlooked.

NVH component testing on a prototype vehicle is still crucial but is quite expensive and time consuming which slows down the development process. The computational method is utilized to predict component behavior in the development phase. MBS modeling approach is a prominent tool because it can be used for finding a satisfying compromise between the manufacturing cost and the NVH requirements.

¹ Ing. Michal Janoušek, Brno University of Technology, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Instute of Automotive Engineering, Technická 2896/2, 616 69 Brno, Tel.: +420 541 142 269, Fax: +420 541 143 354, E-mail: janousek@fme.vutbr.cz

1. COMPUTATIONAL APPROACH

1.1 Basic properties of the computational model

Dynamic behavior of a driveshaft of a heavy duty vehicle is complex and therefore it needs an approach that allows to describe the shaft motion and also the shaft deformation. The MBS approach with the use of rigid and flexible bodies was employed. This approach allows the use of various input parameters, i.e. unbalanced mass, eccentricity of shaft mounts or deflected shape of the shaft. The outputs from MBS model describe intricate behavior of shaft—torsional or whirling vibrations.



Source: Author

Fig. 1 – Drivetrain configuration

The shaft is mounted in carriers which are attached to chassis via roller bearings as is shown in Figure 2. Therefore, it is necessary to take into account the stiffness of the whole mounting assembly. The mounting assembly stiffness analysis was calculated in ANSYS.

Number 2, Volume XI, July 2016



Source: Author

Fig. 2 - Shaft mounting: a) Driven-end, b) Drived-end

1.2 Modal reduced body creation

To calculate the shaft behavior in MBS interface it is necessary to create a modally reduced body which could be imported to MBS software. The point of modal reduction are the reduced flexible body degrees of freedom. Graig-Bampton method was used. This method divides degrees of freedom to constrain and normal modes.

The reduction was made in ANSYS, where SOLID 186 element was used for solid mesh creation and MPC 184 was used for creation of beam construction for transferring loads into MBS system.

MBS model setup

The shaft was imported from ANSYS to ADAMS View as a flexible body via modal reduced body file ("mnf").



Source: Author

Fig. 3 – MBS model scheme

The shaft was supported by bushing element on the drived side. The bushing defines stiffness and damping of support. The drived-end support was realized by inserted rigid body which represents eccentricity of shaft supports. The rigid body was attached to ground by bushing element.

The torque was applied to drived side of the shaft. The amount of torque was calculated from engine torque characteristic and gear ratio to the driveshaft. The speed dyno was placed on the driven side of the shaft. Speed dyno limits speed range of the shaft to $500 - 3000 \text{ min}^{-1}$. The maximal shaft speed was set according to operating conditions with the highest gear engaged.

The rigid body was attached to the mnf mesh in the middle of the shaft as a representation of unbalanced mass.

The shaft is going through the differential cage of second front axle in the central part, as it is shown in Figure 4. The large amplitudes of whirling vibrations were estimated so that it is necessary to consider the contact between the shaft and differential cage. The contact was realized by Adams Impact function. Impact function was defined as flexible and rigid body contact with stiffness and damping. The contact was considered as frictionless.



Source: Author

Fig. 4 – Central part of the shaft

1.3 MBS simulation results

The MBS computational model outputs were torsional and whirling vibrations. Whirling vibration amplitude was significant and has impact on other vehicle structures.

Number 2, Volume XI, July 2016



Source: Author

Fig. 5 – Deflection of the shaft – simulated results

Figure 5 shows that in 2600 min⁻¹ there is no clearance between shaft and differential cage. The contact between shaft and differential cage limits shaft deflecting but leads to the transfer of vibration to the vehicle structure.

2. VERIFICATION OF THE COMPUTATIONAL MODEL

2.1 Technical experiment configuration

The shaft is covered in vehicle chassis, therefore it is necessary to make modification to allow the measurement. The modification includes chassis part with sensor mounting point and oil seals to keep the measured part clean. The modified part is shown in Figure. 6



Source: Author

Fig. 6 – Sensor mounting point on chassis

Shaft deflecting measurement was carried out by contactless sensor Balluf BAW M30ME. The sensor works on induction principle and allows distance measurement in linear range 10 mm. IMC CRFX 400 data acquisition device was used for sensor signal logging. Sampling frequency was set to 500 Hz, which is sufficient due to maximum shaft revolutions 3000 min⁻¹.



Source: Author

Fig. 7 – Mounted sensor

Photo electric sensor with reflexive mark placed on shaft was used for shaft revolution measurement.

2.2 Measurement on vehicle

The shaft was measured on steady vehicle, for this measurement it was necessary to remove ring gears from wheel planetary reductions. All wheel drive was activated and all differentials were set to closed position during the measurement.

At the beginning of the measurement, was detected that driveshaft was deflect 0.95mm in minimal revolutions. It means that the shaft was deflect also in the steady state.



The measurement was performed in range $500 - 3000 \text{ min}^{-1}$.

Source: Author

Fig. 7 – Deflection of the shaft – measured results

Figure 7 shows that the shaft deflection increases with rotational speed to the 2200 min⁻¹ when the shaft touches the differential cage. This means that shaft vibrations are transferred to the vehicle structure which has a negative impact on the vehicle NVH.

2.3 Comparison of computational model with measurement

The measurement demonstrated that the driveshaft was deflect in steady state. The shaft bend is really important for determination of dynamic force from unbalanced mass. The computational model must be adjusted according to this fact. The adjustment was made by shaft geometry, changed to deflect state. There is a good accordance between the computational model and the measurement on real vehicle after that adjustment. Computed and measured deflecting shapes are shown on Figure. 8.





```
Source: Author
```



CONCLUSION

The whirling vibration is an important noise and vibration source especially on long shafts in the driveline of a heavy duty vehicle. The MBS modeling approach described in this paper is in accordance with the measurement on a real vehicle. The MBS approach is a valuable tool for simulating dynamic behavior of the driveline. This approach can be used for predicting NVH behavior of driveline in a construction phase.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work is an output of internal BUT project Reg. No. FSI-S-142334.

RESOURCES

- (1) RAHNEJAT, H., ROTHBERG, S. *Multi-body dynamics: monitoring and simulation techniques III.* London: Professional Engineering Pub., 2004. ISBN 1860584632.
- (2) DU, H., MORGAN, J., WONG, J., and SALMON, R., "Modeling and Correlation of Driveshaft Whirl Dynamics for RWD Sport Utility Vehicles," SAE Technical Paper 2001-01-1503, 2001, doi:10.4271/2001-01-1503.
- (3) KATO, M., et al, "Lateral-Torsional Coupled Vibrations of a Rotating Shaft Driven by a

Universal Joint. (Derivation of Equations of Motion and Asymptotic Analysis)", JSME International Journal, Series 111, Vol. 3 1, No 1, pp 68-74, 1988.

- (4) SMETANA, C., a kol. *Hluk a vibrace: měření a hodnocení*, Praha: Sdělovací technika, 1998. 1. vyd. 188 s. ISBN 80-901936-2-5..
- (5) WELLMAN, T., GOVINDSWAMY, K., BRAUN, E., WOLFF, K. Aspects of Driveline Integration for Optimised Vehicle NVH Characteristics, SAE Technical Paper 2007-01-2246, 2007
- (6) Msc Software: Adams/View function Builder help Adams 2015 [online]. 2015 [cit. 2016-05-10]. Available from:

<https://simcompanion.mscsoftware.com/infocenter/index?page=content&id=DOC10806 &cat=2015_ADAMS_DOCS&actp=LIST >.